EXAM 8 - FALL 2012

18. (2 points)

The table below provides the actual loss history for 10 similar risks:

	Sum of Losses Under \$200,000 per Accident	Individual Accidents Greater Than \$200,000			
Risk		Accident 1	Accident 2		
1	\$300,000				
2	\$400,000				
3	\$500,000				
4	\$600,000				
5	\$700,000				
6	\$800,000				
7	\$900,000				
8	\$1,000,000	\$300,000			
9	\$1,100,000	\$600,000			
10	\$1,200,000	\$200,000	\$1,400,000		
Total	\$7,500,000	\$1,100,000	\$1,400,000		

Construct Table L charges for a loss limit of \$500,000 at entry ratios of 1.10, 1.30, 1.60, 1.90 and 2.10.

Question 18:

Model Solution 1

Avg Loss = E = (7,500,000 + 1,100,000 + 1,400,000)/10 = 1MCharge = % capped losses eliminated by Agg + LER (1300-1100+1600-1100+1900-1100)/(10*1,000,000)+0.1 = 0.251.1 (1600-1300+1900-1300)/(10*1,000,000)+0.1 = 0.191.3 (1900-1600)/(10*1,000,000)+0.1=0.131.6 1.9 0.1 2.1 0.1 Risk Agg Losses limited at 500K per occurrence loss eliminated 8 1000+300=1300 9 100K 1100+500=1600 10 1200+200+500=1900 900K 1000K

LER = (1,000,000/10)/1,000,000 = 0.1

Model Solution 2

Risk	Total unlimited loss	Lim to 500K per occurrence	er=1.1	1.3	1.6	1.9
	2.1	•				
1	300	300	300	300	300	300
	300					
2	400	400	400	400	400	400
	400					
3	500	500	500	500	500	500
	500					
4	600	600	600	600	600	600
	600					
5	700	700	700	700	700	700
	700					
6	800	800	800	800	800	800
	800					
7	900	900	900	900	900	900
	900					
8	1300	1300	1300	1300	1300	1300
	1300					
9	1700	1600	1100	1300	1600	1600
	1600					
10	2800	1900	1100	1300	1600	1900
	1900					

Average unlimited = 1,000 Average limited = 900

K = 0.1

So charges including per occurrence are:

<u>r</u> <u>charge</u>

- 1.1 (1-7500/9000)(1-0.1)+.1 = 0.25 (modified, see below)
- 1.3 0.19
- 1.6 0.13
- 1.9 0.1
- 2.1 0.1

[1-(total limited to r & 500K per occ/total limited to 500K per occ)](1-k)+k

Examiner's Comments:

Full credit was given for candidates who got all of the correct charges for each entry ratio.

Common errors that resulted in partial credit:

- Multiplying the percent eliminated by 1-LER when the denominator was total losses rather than limited losses (Skurnick Page 127 states that adjustment factor of 1-k is needed only when the charge is represented as a ratio to expected limited losses)
- Limiting losses to 200K rather than 500K resulted in an LER of 0.17 rather than the correct 0.10
- Using total losses of 7.5M instead of 10M (candidates did not add in the columns for the individual accidents greater than 200K). This resulted in an LER of 0.1333.
- Some candidates for entry ratios of 1.9 and 2.1 calculated an erroneous charge rather than just using the LER